

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,544	11/24/2003	Hung-Yang Chang	00280755AA	1272
30743 WHITHAM. (7590 04/11/200 CURTIS & CHRISTOFI	EXAMINER		
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			RECEK, JASON D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2142		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/718,544		CHANG ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JASON RECEK	2142		
	UNIO UNITE DELIC			

	JASON RECEK	2142	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED March 24th 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: 1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or 1.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet.)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (f	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-3, 8-10, 14-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2142			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments concerning the 101 rejection are addressed on pg. 3 of the Office Action dated 11/5/508. Regarding the 103 rejection, applicant is arguing features not in the claims. Specifically applicant is relying on providing different views to team members (pg. 7), however claim 1 does not require the views to team members (pg. 7), however claim 1 does not require the views to the different. Applicant also argues that Ruths and Danse do not teach "a view that displays in a graphical userface... at lesso one of role players, online status, e-meeting links, and discussion threads" as required by claim 1, this is address on pg. 4-5 of the last Office Action. Applicant's arguments with respect to the dependent claims are all addressed in the last Office Action. Sec. 7. Applicant also states that the Danso reference was raised for the first time in the last Office Action. It is noted this reference was necessitated by Applicant's previous amendment on 9/21/07. See MPEP 706.07.